

In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

Filed: March 21, 2022

* * * * *

SUSAN B. ACON <i>as executrix of</i>	*	
THE ESTATE OF AGNES BIAGINI,	*	UNPUBLISHED
	*	
Petitioner,	*	No. 19-0064V
	*	Special Master Gowen
v.	*	
	*	Attorneys' Fees and Costs
SECRETARY OF HEALTH	*	
AND HUMAN SERVICES,	*	
	*	
Respondent.	*	

* * * * *

Rudolph L. Massa, Massa Law Group, PC, Pittsburgh, PA, for Petitioner.
James V. Lopez, United States Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.

DECISION ON ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS¹

On December 15, 2021, Susan Acon ("Petitioner") filed a motion for attorneys' fees and costs. Motion for Attorney Fees and Costs ("Fees App.") (ECF No. 50). For the reasons discussed below, I **GRANT** Petitioner's motion for attorneys' fees and costs and award a total of **\$37,867.14**.

I. Procedural History

On January 15, 2019, Petitioner, as executrix of the estate of Agnes Biagini, filed a petition in the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program.² The petition sought compensation for injuries and death allegedly related to Ms. Biagini's receipt of an influenza vaccine on December 7, 2016. *See* Petition (ECF No. 1). On May 18, 2021, the parties filed a stipulation, which I adopted

¹ I intend to post this Ruling on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website. **This means the Ruling will be available to anyone with access to the Internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access. Because this unpublished ruling contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, I am required to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services).

² The National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program is set forth in Part 2 of the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755, codified as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 300aa-1 to -34 (2012) ("Vaccine Act" or "the Act"). All citations in this decision to individual sections of the Vaccine Act are to 42 U.S.C.A. § 300aa.

as my Decision awarding compensation on the same day. (ECF No. 46).

On December 15, 2021, Petitioner filed a motion for final attorneys' fees and costs. Petitioner requests compensation for her attorneys in the total amount of \$38,910.14, representing \$29,242.50 in attorneys' fees, \$8,264.33 in attorneys' costs, and \$1,403.31 in costs personally incurred by Petitioner. Fees App. at 1. Respondent reacted to the fees motion on December 15, 2021, stating that "Respondent is satisfied the statutory requirements for an award of attorneys' fees and costs are met in this case." Response at 2 (ECF No. 51). Petitioner did not file a reply thereafter.

The matter is now ripe for adjudication.

II. Analysis

Section 15(e) (1) of the Vaccine Act allows for the Special Master to award "reasonable attorneys' fees, and other costs." § 300aa-15(e)(1)(A)–(B). Petitioners are entitled to an award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs if they are entitled to compensation under the Vaccine Act, or, even if they are unsuccessful, they are eligible so long as the Special Master finds that the petition was filed in good faith and with a reasonable basis. *Avera v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 515 F.3d 1343, 1352 (Fed. Cir. 2008). Here, because Petitioner was awarded compensation pursuant to a stipulation, she is entitled to a final award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

Petitioners "bea[r] the burden of establishing the hours expended, the rates charged, and the expenses incurred" are reasonable. *Wasson v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 24 Cl. Ct. 482, 484 (1993). Adequate proof of the claimed fees and costs should be presented when the motion is filed. *Id.* at 484 n. 1. The special master has the discretion to reduce awards *sua sponte*, independent of enumerated objections from the respondent. *Sabella v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 86 Fed. Cl. 201, 208–09 (Fed. Cl. 2009); *Savin v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 85 Fed. Cl. 313 (Fed. Cl. 2008), *aff'd* No. 99–537V, 2008 WL 2066611 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. Apr. 22, 2008).

a. Attorneys' Fees

Petitioner requests the following rates for her counsel, Mr. Rudolph Massa: \$360.00 per hour for work performed in 2018, \$365.00 per hour for work performed in 2019, \$420.00 per hour for work performed in 2020, and \$450.00 per hour for work performed in 2021. Mr. Massa's requested 2019 rate is consistent with what he has previously been awarded, but the other hourly rates require reduction. Mr. Massa has previously been awarded \$340.00 per hour for work in 2018 and \$395.00 per hour for work performed in 2020, so the requested rates exceed what he has previously been awarded and shall be reduced. *See, e.g., Stover v Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, No. 17-952V, 2020 WL 5814269 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. Aug. 27, 2020). I also find that the proposed rate for Mr. Massa's 2021 work is excessive. Mr. Massa has been practicing law since 1998, although he has limited Vaccine Program experience, with the instant case being the seventh filed by Mr. Massa. Based on these factors, the undersigned finds that \$420.00 per hour is a reasonable hourly rate for Mr. Massa's work in 2021. Application of these rates results in a reduction of \$1,043.00.³

³ 2018: (\$360.00 per hour requested - \$340.00 per hour awarded) * 1.4 hours billed = \$28.00

Turning next to the hours billed, I find that the overall hours spent on this matter appear to be reasonable. The entries reasonably and accurately describe the work performed and the length of time it took to perform each task. Respondent also has not identified any particular billing entries as being objectionable or unreasonable. Therefore, the hours requested are compensated without adjustment. Petitioner is awarded final attorneys' fees of \$28,199.50.

b. Attorneys' Costs

Like attorneys' fees, a request for reimbursement of costs must be reasonable. *Perreira v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 27 Fed. Cl. 29, 34 (Fed. Cl. 1992). Petitioner requests total attorneys' costs in the amount of \$8,264.33. This amount is comprised of acquiring medical records, postage, the Court's filing fee, and work performed by Petitioner's medical expert, Dr. Justin Willer. Fees App. Ex. 2. Petitioner has provided adequate documentation supporting all of the requested costs, and all appear reasonable in my experience. Petitioner is therefore entitled to the full amount of costs sought.

c. Petitioner's Costs

Pursuant to General Order No. 9, Petitioner has indicated that she has personally incurred costs totaling \$1,403.31, comprised of acquiring medical records and costs associated with establishing the estate of Ms. Biagini. Fees App. Ex. 3. These costs are reasonable and supported with adequate documentation and shall be awarded in full.

III. Conclusion

In accordance with the foregoing, Petitioner's motion for attorneys' fees and costs is **GRANTED**. I find that Petitioner is entitled to a reimbursement of attorneys' fees and costs as follows:

Attorneys' Fees Requested	\$29,242.50
(Reduction of Fees)	-\$1,043.00
Total Attorneys' Fees Awarded	\$28,199.50
Attorneys' Costs Requested	\$8,264.33
(Reduction of Costs)	-
Total Attorneys' Costs Awarded	\$8,264.33
Total Attorneys' Fees and Costs	\$36,463.83
Petitioner's Costs	\$1,403.31

2020: (\$420.00 per hour requested - \$395.00 per hour awarded) * 34.6 hours billed = \$865.00
 2021: (\$450.00 per hour requested - \$420.00 per hour awarded) * 5.0 hours billed = \$150.00.

Total Amount Awarded	\$37,867.14
-----------------------------	--------------------

Accordingly, I award the following:

- 1) a lump sum in the amount of \$36,463.83, representing reimbursement for Petitioner's attorneys' fees and costs, in the form of a check payable to Petitioner and her attorney, Mr. Rudolph Massa⁴; and**
- 2) a lump sum in the amount of \$1,403.31, representing reimbursement for Petitioner's costs, in the form of a check payable to Petitioner.**

In the absence of a motion for review filed pursuant to RCFC Appendix B, the clerk of the court shall enter judgment in accordance herewith.⁵

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/Thomas L. Gowen

Thomas L. Gowen
Special Master

⁴ This amount is intended to cover all legal expenses incurred in this matter. This award encompasses all charges by the attorney against a client, "advanced costs," and fees for legal services rendered. Furthermore, Section 15(e)(3) prevents an attorney from charging or collecting fees (including costs) that would be in addition to the amount awarded herein. *See generally Beck v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 924 F.2d 1029 (Fed. Cir. 1991).

⁵ Entry of judgment can be expedited by each party's filing of a notice renouncing the right to seek review. Vaccine Rule 11(a).